

Additional Information on Corona Vaccinations

Excerpts from the 772nd contact of Friday, 30th July 2021

Billy ... these probably speak for themselves about what is being withheld from the public with regard to the vaccination against the rampantly spreading corona disease. Vaccines, the substance of which is only being secretly tested on the world population, which has no knowledge of the fact that the stuff is only being tested and is only in a new testing phase and is therefore worthless or partially worthless.

First, many people fall victim to this time and again, and second, vaccinated people suffer from long-term damage to their health, which can last a lifetime. And third, there are impulse depositions that can again and again lead to illnesses and to reactions that are harmful to health and also life-threatening. And the fact that such impulse depositions occur in this rampantly spreading disease is completely unknown to earthly virologists and to the entire scientific community, because they have never had to deal with such effects in a virus. Then the fourth point to be mentioned is that vaccinations, that is to say their substances, are useless against it, because despite the vaccination the persons remain infectious and can therefore infect the next person. Then in the fifth place it must be mentioned that the antibodies resulting from the vaccination dissipate already after 3 to 4 months – at the latest, however, after 8 to 10 months – consequently a subsequent vaccination, and then again and all over again and again a vaccination is necessary, as already explained by Sfath in 1947. He explained at the time – and this was also confirmed by Ptaah – that this rampantly spreading disease, which was artificially created in China – namely in the mid-1970s through the efforts of a hateful American – had the characteristic of settling impulses within the human organism which, on the one hand, were capable of ensuring that the rampantly spreading disease remained contagious despite vaccination and could therefore continue to spread, at least for a certain period of time. This was already explained by Sfath, which Ptaah also confirmed.

Florena We are aware of that.

Bermunda Yes, we know that. However, we are also aware that irrationally and dictatorially among the many earthly national leaders are diverse ones who demand that rights of freedom of movement be curtailed for those who are unwilling to be vaccinated and that they be granted less liberties compared to the vaccinated and the 'recovered'. Something that is completely unrightful and impairs the free will of the human beings and their fundamental rights of free opinion. This is after the right and necessary things have not been done by the national leaders from the beginning of the rampantly spreading disease, which they should have done to nip the rampantly spreading disease in the bud.

Florena Yes, the earthly national leaders are really incapable of their office, and they now want to turn this into the opposite against vaccination opponents by punishing those who are unwilling to be vaccinated with special measures. This is in the way that Ptaah explained – who is reviewing the Annals of Sfath from 1947, which contain your predictions which you should retrieve and write down, and which you authored when you were 10 years old, and which were checked upon and corrected by your teachers and by the priest – that these are no longer admitted in certain places, such as restaurants, stadiums and other public event locations. And moreover, people are to pay for the corona tests in the future and otherwise be disadvantaged. Something that corresponds to an unfairness beyond compare and is evidence of the incapability of the national leaders. It will even be claimed that there will be a pandemic of the vaccination opponents and that they will endanger themselves and their fellow human beings, which, however, is not true, but the opposite is the case, namely because the vaccinated and those previously fallen ill with the rampantly spreading disease represent a danger of infection for those who are still healthy, because such vaccinated persons remain infection-promoting and infect those not yet afflicted with the rampantly spreading corona disease through their still existing infection-possibility with the pathogen of the rampantly spreading disease. Added to this is the fact that tens of thousands of pseudo vaccinations have been carried out, as we have discovered, which served only for enrichment and were completely useless, but which lulled the 'vaccinated' into a false sense of security.

Fundamentally, due to the inadequacy and the still appearing side effects of the vaccine – which can be life-threatening if injected – it must be stated specifically that we Plejaren in no way want to or can give advice FOR or AGAINST a vaccination with one of the vaccines. This is because, according to our directives, we are not allowed to interfere in earthly affairs in any way, neither with advice nor with deed.

As Ptaah explained as an expert – he is one of our specialists and scholars in the field of virology, in addition to everything else for which he may be considered a luminary in other fields of activity – that it is unprecedented

on Earth that impulses from a rampantly spreading disease are released since he has been dealing with these things on this planet. In the long run, these – together with the unproven vaccine – develop the property to trigger other diseases, which in some circumstances can be life-threatening. All people who fall ill from the rampantly spreading disease and who are carriers of the impulse despite being vaccinated with the unproven vaccine are and will be afflicted by it, and the possibility cannot be ruled out that they will infect other humans with the rampantly spreading disease virus. The possibility of infecting other persons therefore remains and in no way spares them from infection. The fact that a person in this respect undergoes a vaccination in no way protects him or her from the fact that the health-damaging effect through the deposited impulses – which, however, cannot be recognised and ascertained by the earthly virologists and physicians – will only be revealed in years through further illnesses. This will only be proven in the future, if health problems and even fatal consequences do not come to pass even sooner after the vaccination.

What will be propagated by those in government, that it is the individual's own responsibility to protect themselves and others from the rampantly spreading disease through vaccination, simply corresponds to a lie and fantasy which the rational ones will oppose. But this in turn leads to rowdiness, to people thinking differently and to the denial of the truth about the rampantly spreading corona disease, which unfortunately will be the result and will spread near and far, namely in terms of an upcoming disinterest, because on the one hand very inadequate and on the other hand false information is passed on to the populations by the national leaders as well as by the determining institutions for disease.

It must be said that it is completely irresponsible of the manufacturers of the so-called vaccines to make use of them, as well as to test them on the majority of humankind of Earth. This process, which normally takes up to 8 to 15 years or more, is therefore far from being completed, and it will be a long time before a vaccine without major and abnormal side effects will finally be ready.

What must be considered very bad is the irresponsibility of the national leaders who did not act rightly at the beginning of the emergence of the rampantly spreading corona disease and did not fully lock down everything as it would have been required. At that time, the catastrophe of the many deaths could still have been avoided if it had been acted upon considerably. At that time, the majority of humankind of Earth was still willing to implement a complete closure (note Billy: 'lockdown') and the corresponding necessary measures, while only a small part of rowdies who wanted to give themselves airs and were undiscerning refused to accept this. Through the right and rigorous crackdown – which absolutely must be enforced in such situations – the rampantly spreading disease would have been nipped in the bud before it could begin to be rampant. All required actions of provisioning and of all necessities should have been taken and enforced by the national authorities from the very beginning, which, however, was not done. Also, the wearing of respiratory protection masks and the necessary keeping of distance were not rigorously and responsibly kept to, like many other things that would have led to the avoidance of the pandemic.

Truly I must say, as Ptaah put it: "The national authorities responsible for the earthly peoples are unworthy and incapable of their office, for in their low intelligentum and their megalomania they do not know what has to be done in emergencies. And they do not know how to lead a people, for they are might-obsessed, and their intellect as well as their rationality are barely sufficient for their own ..."

Billy But I will not write that when I retrieve the conversation. – ... And I didn't want to say anything about the pseudo vaccinations, because it is being denied anyway, although it should actually be known to certain rulers and the people should be informed about this deception. But to date, nothing has been said about this by any government. And as for whether or not to be vaccinated, we at the FIGU do not give advice, because each human being must know and decide for him- or herself how to behave and what he or she wants or needs to do. So we take cognisance of everything you say and explain, and then everyone decides for him- or herself what he or she wants to do or not to do. So we as individuals don't even know about our fellow human being, whether he or she has been vaccinated or not. Private matters simply remain private matters, and that concerns no one other than the person in question him- or herself.

Florena That is only right and proper, and it does credit to the FIGU society, because it calls itself a 'FREE community of interests', and only when every member is really free in every decision and in all actions can there be any talk of FREE and FREELY. And that is indeed the case with you. But the other thing I said actually corresponds to the truth as well. And I understand that you don't want to talk about it, but it's not right, because those who are only apparently vaccinated allow themselves to be lulled into a false sense of security against the rampantly spreading disease, which will continue to claim its victims far and near.

Excerpt from the 773rd contact of Tuesday, 10th of August 2021

Billy ... But look here, if you would like to read this?

Bermuda What is it?

Billy It was sent to me, and it confirms that an American, precisely, had been with Mao in the mid-1970s and was responsible for the fact that the rampantly spreading corona disease, that is to say the corona virus, could be created in the laboratory. Of course, the connection and the name are not mentioned, because the scientists could not have known about the whole thing, but it practically confirms the story that the rampantly spreading corona disease was just developed in the laboratory. Of course, the researchers Dr Steven Quay, the founder of Atossa Therapeutics, and Richard Muller, a former top scientist at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, could not have known that wanted to take revenge on the US-American people and in order to do so secretly made use of the services of Mao and his secret lab assistants, as I already said in 2020. But now what I was trying to shout from the rooftops in order to show where the rampantly spreading disease really came from, and what the true origin was practically confirmed. As usual, however, no one cared until just now, when someone found it necessary to at least get to the bottom of the fact that the rampantly spreading corona disease did not originate naturally in the wild, but in the laboratory. Although there is no talk of an act of revenge – the two of them could not have known that – they have at least found out that the rampantly spreading corona disease is a product that was produced, created or developed in a laboratory.

Florena I am interested in that.

Bermunda Me too.

Billy Here, please, read. ... (Both read)

US experts: 'Overwhelming' evidence for laboratory origin of the coronavirus

Tue, 8th of June 2021 05:01 p.m. UTC

Already at the beginning of the corona disease hysteria, renowned scientists assumed that the corona virus had been artificially created in a laboratory. The more that became known about the virus, the more firmly this assumption became established. Now two US scientists have also come to the same conclusion.

In an opinion piece published in the US business newspaper The Wall Street Journal at the weekend, Dr Steven Quay, founder of Atossa Therapeutics, and Richard Muller, a former top scientist at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory who now teaches physics at the University of California at Berkeley, claim that 'overwhelming' scientific evidence strongly suggests that COVID-19 is indeed a man-made virus.

Quay and Muller pointed to two key evidences for their finding:

The first piece of evidence relates to the method of the so-called gain-of-function research, in which microbiologists optimise the genome of a virus in order to change its properties, such as to make it more infectious and life-threatening. Out of the 36 possible genome pairings that have the potential to generate two arginine amino acids in a row – leading to an increase in the lethality of a virus – the one most commonly used in gain-of-function research is CGG-CGG, or double CGG, Quay and Muller explained. In their contribution they wrote about this:

"The insertion sequence of choice is double CGG. This is because it is readily available and convenient to handle, and scientists have a lot of experience with its insertion. Another advantage of the double CGG sequence compared to the other 35 possible options: It creates a useful indicator that allows scientists to monitor insertion in the lab."

Quay and Muller explain that the double CGG sequence has never been found naturally in the entire class of coronaviruses, which includes SARS-CoV-2. The two write:

"Proponents of a zoonotic origin need to explain why the novel coronavirus, when it mutated or recombined, happened to pick its least favourite combination, the double CGG. Why did it repeat the choice that the laboratory researchers would have made with the gain-of-function? At least this fact – that the coronavirus, with all its random possibilities, adopted the rare and unnatural combination used by human

researchers – implies that the leading theory about the origin of the coronavirus must be a laboratory escape."

The second piece of evidence is even clearer, according to the two scientists:

According to Quay and Muller, the second and most 'compelling' piece of evidence beyond the signs of gain-of-function research is "the drastic differences in genetic diversity of SARS-CoV-2 compared to the coronaviruses responsible for SARS and MERS". SARS and MERS, whose natural origin has been confirmed, "evolved rapidly as they spread across the human population until the most contagious forms dominated", the scientists write. In contrast, COVID-19 proved to be highly contagious from the time it was first discovered. Quay and Muller continue:

"Such early optimisation is unprecedented and indicates a long period of adaptation predating its public dissemination. Science knows only one way in which this could be achieved: A simulated natural evolution, where the virus is cultured on human cells until the optimum has been achieved. That is exactly what is being done in gain-of-function research."

These two points have led Quay and Muller to the conclusion that the probability that COVID-19 was developed by humans must be considered the leading theory.

Source: <https://de.sott.net/article/35196-US-Experten>

Bermunda This confirms your statement.

Florena I can't say it any better than that. – It confirms your statement, which you have mentioned several times since you spoke of it. I remember that well.

Billy After all, it was not long ago that I had repeatedly spoken about it. And it also needs to be said that all this would have been prevented if there had been capable people in the governments instead of inept puppet creatures who do not know what to do when a rampantly spreading disease breaks out, such as the rampantly spreading corona disease that is rampant all over the world. Instead of a complete lockdown, which must also include a strict ban on flights and travel of all kinds, everything was handled in a lax way, allowing the rampantly spreading disease to spread and claim millions of deaths. Of course, I realise that such a total lockdown would have disrupted all life and the economy, and so forth, but it could have prevented the rampantly spreading disease from being rampant globally. Of course, I know that many will now stand up, bellow and put forward that this would have been impossible for such and such reasons, but these are really only flimsy, because only the money and the profit are seen. For that is the point of the matter in the Earthling's existence, in which human life counts for nothing. In such a situation, in times of a rampantly spreading disease, only very few human beings think about life itself, because only money and profit are important. And this is despite the fact that it would be practicable for a rampantly spreading disease to be nipped in the bud if acted upon rightly. This, however, would require that capable people's leaders in the governments would carry out their job, just as contrarians and other unrealists would have to be silenced and sidelined for the time of the ongoing measures.

Florena That would indeed be necessary, and with us such a necessity would unquestionably be the solution so that a rampantly spreading disease would peter out before it could begin to be rampant. But now it is getting late. We have to go again.

Billy Achim will beam me some more articles that are interesting regarding the rampantly spreading corona disease. These I would like to show you, because there will certainly be some among them that are worthy of publishing.

Florena You can decide for yourself and publish them according to your convenience.

Billy Good, I will do that. – It will therefore be allowed if I attach them to this conversation report, won't it?

Florena There is nothing to be said against this, ...

I have received the following articles from Achim Wolf, among others, which I, however, do not find relevant enough to follow this contact conversation. However, as far as the following articles are concerned – which I received before or after the time of the contact report – I think they are of sufficient value and informative relevance to be published on the internet. However, I – like the Plejaren – will not take any stand on this,

consequently we will not make any kind of recommendation as to whether someone should be vaccinated or not, because that lies solely in the will of each individual human being, since everyone is entirely responsible for him- or herself, for his or her health and for his or her fellow human beings and his or her environment, and must therefore decide for himself or herself in any case on the pros and cons.

Ethics Council Chairman Buyx: That's why one still has to keep firing full blast, as far as vaccination is concerned (Part 1)

17. 08. 2021 | Henry Mattheß |

Many representatives of the political-media elite attract attention with their excessive self-assurance and vehemence when it comes to the topic of corona. At the same time, they impress with inconsistencies in thinking, huge blind spots when it comes to vaccination and ignorance towards international findings. The chairwoman of the German Ethics Council, Prof. Dr. Alena Buyx, provided an illustrative example in an NDR podcast on dealing with vaccination refusers.

Buyx behaves martially in the NDR interview of 12th August:

"We are in a transitional phase (of the vaccination campaign) at the moment. And that's why one still has to keep firing full blast as far as vaccination is concerned."

With which she wants to promote vaccination campaigns that need to be further intensified. All barrels are directed at those who have not yet been vaccinated and how to deal with them. Because the now free availability of vaccines will make vaccination rejection...

"...increasingly a question of personal choice and thus of personal self-responsibility. And that is what these arguments are derived from, that people say: Watch out, if you really do not get vaccinated now, not because it is not possible, or because you do not have any information or because you cannot, but because you say: Nope, I won't! you are ultimately deciding on your own responsibility against your own protection, against the protection of the human beings around you, and you are also deciding to contribute to the continuation of the pandemic."

The fact that the 'personal self-responsibility' of many millions of not particularly endangered and healthy human beings is not directed against their own protection but, on the contrary, precisely towards the protection of their own health against possible harmful side effects and long-term consequences of only conditionally approved and rapidly developed, novel genetic injections, does not seem to be a legitimate consideration for Buyx.

Furthermore, she assesses the motives for rejecting vaccination as follows:

"If it is done out of a vaccination-rejecting attitude, then the arguments of self-responsibility and equal treatment really do clash. That is a genuine ethical conflict. Because then it is something that I decide on the basis of personal convictions, and thus I place myself outside the solidarity community. And then I cannot demand that the solidarity community continues to finance this [corona tests] for me."

It is Buyx who verbally kicks people who do not (yet) have themselves vaccinated out of the solidarity community with a moral rather than ethical justification. Human beings who make an individual weighing up of health risks do not place themselves outside the solidarity community by doing so.

More likely, with her ethics valuations, Buyx moves outside the legal framework of the Basic Law with its constitutional principle of unconditional equal treatment of all citizens. This may only be restricted with regard to freedom of movement and social participation for infectious, dangerously ill(!) persons or within the framework of the penal system. Internationally, in many places the ethical and legal standards for compulsory vaccination certificates are being judged differently from those in Germany, and the demand for vaccination certificates is forbidden.

Like many others, the chairwoman of the Ethics Council uses manipulative language. The words vaccination offer and vaccination refuseniks do not fit together language-logically. An offer cannot be refused, but at most rejected, since it is based on voluntariness. Only a duty can be refused because it always involves some kind of compulsion. Nevertheless, there is constant talk of an 'offer' and its 'refusers'.

The word vaccination-rejection is not only missing in the linguistic usage of the vaccination advocates, but probably also in their thinking. A refusal of a corona vaccination is not foreseen in their world view because they cannot imagine a rational reason for it. At the same time, the risk of long-term health consequences is obviously not excludable and also not assessable, which is shown in particular by the fact that the vaccine manufacturers have explicitly let themselves be dispensed from liability for such damages by the state in secret contracts.

Possible long-term consequences of vaccination are a huge blind spot of the vaccination promoters. It is being ignored by means of rhetorical tricks such as persuasion or lies. Buyx makes it sound like this in the podcast:

"Vaccination has been given 4.3 thousand million times worldwide – we know all about its safety."

And Daniel Günther (CDU), Minister President of Schleswig-Holstein announced on 10th August:

"I want to explicitly[!] point out once again that all clinical studies and also all empirical values gained from the vaccinations of millions of human beings also clearly show that we have safe and reliable vaccines and that no one has to worry..."

Just two of the uncounted variations of the political-media mantras of 'safe and effective' corona vaccines that come close to justiciable guileful delusion. For no matter how many thousands of millions of genetic engineering doses have already been injected, they cannot replace the lack of years of long-term studies or undo the alarmingly high number of negative effects of vaccination that have already accumulated. This seems to be possible only in the thought logic and argumentation of vaccination advocates and a chairwoman of the Ethics Council.

That Buyx's logical weakness is not just a possible slip of the tongue is shown by another statement that mixes up categories that do not fit together:

"But vaccination is much cheaper overall, of course, than constant testing... And that's why you then have to realise at some point that you are making an individual decision that may possibly bring in its wake certain consequences."

A vaccination is a medical category, above which the medical principle of not harming healthy people stands in the first place. The logic by which the renowned medical ethicist Prof. Dr. Buyx, in contrast to this, seriously turns economic cost considerations against the unvaccinated is inconceivable and irritating.

Perhaps this 'logic' stems from the quasi-religious expectation of salvation through herd immunity brought about by the use of genetically engineered vaccines to end the 'pandemic'. Although in the interview Buyx appears to be informed about infected vaccinated people, at least in the UK, who oppose this expectation of a cure, this fact does not seem to affect her ethical justifications for unequal treatment of vaccinated and non-vaccinated people.

However, if further international data from Israel, Malta, Chile, Gibraltar, Denmark, the Netherlands, Bahrain, Iceland and others were taken into account, this would be mandatory. These countries with high vaccination rates show that the genetic injections used can neither prevent a wave of infection, nor severe courses of the disease, and also not the death of vaccinated persons through covid. These only ever hoped-for beneficial effects were never the subject of investigation in the extremely abbreviated manufacturer studies, which has been known since September 2020.

The fact that there are nevertheless massive waves of infection in countries with very high vaccination rates is the second blind spot of the vaccination advocates, which they ignore by omission or false assertions. Or they only report from Germany, not without playing down the problem of infected vaccinated people with the help of experts and acting as if this risk had been communicated from the beginning and was now to be expected. In Germany, many media, ethics councils and politicians are still spreading the fairy tale, with multi-million propaganda expenses, that the 'pandemic' can only be ended by vaccinating everyone.

Representing the majority of the journalistic guild, the NDR presenter also excels in ignorance. At least he does not confront Buyx's ethical considerations with the international reality of vaccination. For this, all that was needed was an enquiry about the latest reports from epidemiologists in Iceland:

"I hate to be the bearer of bad news, but Iceland (93% of the population aged 16 and over is vaccinated) is experiencing its biggest Covid 19 wave yet. At this point in time, I think it is irrational to assume that an increased vaccination coverage rate will lead to herd immunity." (Elias Eythorsson on Twitter on 7.8.2021)

In complete contrast to these international reports, German politicians continue to exert massive pressure for the vaccination of children and young persons with windy statements that lack any logic or sense, in order to achieve herd immunity in this way: Vaccination purely for one's own sake. Prof. Dr. Buyx, chairwoman of the Ethics Council, is among those in a prominent position.

Source: <https://uncutnews.ch/ethikratsvorsitzende-buyx-deswegen-muss-man-noch-aus-allen-rohren-feuern-was-das-impfen-anbelangt-teil1/>

Actress Sally Kirkland after Moderna Vaccine:

In my 79 years I have never experienced so much pain

The Defender, Children's Health Defense News and Views, 18th August, 2021

childrenshealthdefense.org: Immediately after her second Moderna shot, award-winning actress Sally Kirkland knew something was wrong — five months later, she remains in almost constant pain.

Sally Kirkland has been acting since she was 17, and has appeared in more than 250 movies. But she didn't sign up for the role she's playing now — an advocate for not getting the COVID vaccine.

In the most recent episode of "The People's Testaments," Kirkland told Polly Tommey, "Just as I know my own name, I would advise you not to do the vaccine."

Kirkland did get the vaccine. After seeing what a friend, who got the virus, went through, she got both doses of the Moderna vaccine.

Her friend recovered — but five months after her second Moderna shot, Kirkland remains in constant pain. Kirkland, 79, said she was healthy before she took the vaccine. But almost immediately after the second shot, she experienced excruciating headaches, vertigo, nausea and joint pain.

Kirkland said some doctors refused to blame the vaccine for her symptoms, despite the timing of the symptoms. But others didn't — including three doctors who told her the vaccine attacked the nerve in her brain that causes dizziness, vertigo and joint pain throughout her body.

At one point, alone late at night, the vertigo caused Kirkland to fall, resulting in a broken toe and sprained ankle.

Kirkland said she also has "terrible heart pain," which makes her feel as though she's having a heart attack. Today, Kirkland takes multiple prescriptions for pain, nausea and vertigo, and alternates between applying moist hot packs and ice on her joints. Still, she continues to work, she said, because she needs to support herself.

During a recent acting job in New Orleans, Kirkland had to be rushed to a hospital where one doctor told her, "You're not the first person. We've had other people who got the Moderna vaccine, which attacked the nerve in their brain, causing all these symptoms."

Watch the interview here:

(Note: See <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/the-peoples-testaments-polly-tommey-sally-kirkland-moderna-vaccine-constant-pain/>)

SOURCE: ACTRESS SALLY KIRKLAND AFTER MODERNA VACCINE: IN MY 79 YEARS, I'VE NEVER EXPERIENCED THIS LEVEL OF PAIN

Source (in German): <https://uncutnews.ch/schauspielerin-sally-kirkland-nach-moderna-impfung-in-meinen-79-jahren-habe-ich-noch-nie-so-viel-schmerz-erlebt/>

Heart muscle inflammations after vaccination have quadrupled since June. Pfizer and Moderna warn Swiss doctors in a personal letter that vaccinated patients should seek 'immediate medical advice and help' in case of chest pain.

Swissmedic: The 'positive benefit-risk profile' remains

Published on 18th August 2021 by Editor

On the 12th of August, the Swiss subsidiaries of the vaccine companies Pfizer and Moderna sent an 'important safety-relevant information' by express mail personally addressed to the Swiss doctors. The letter was drafted 'in coordination with Swissmedic'.

The letter states, among other things:

"Swissmedic has reviewed all available data and came to the conclusion that there could at least possibly be a causal relationship between COVID-19 mRNA vaccines and myocarditis and pericarditis."

The following day, on 13th August, Swissmedic provided further data in its fortnightly bulletin on side effects:

"After vaccination with the Covid 19 mRNA vaccines, very rare cases of myocarditis and pericarditis (inflammation of the heart muscle/heart sac) have been reported. Swissmedic currently has received 96 reports of this with approximately 9.2 million doses vaccinated."

That is 9.5 cases per million doses. At the beginning of June, the number was almost four times lower, at 2.5 per million vaccine doses. It is unclear whether the increase is due to an increase in cases or to increased sensitisation.

Between June and July 2021, the number of suspected adverse reaction reports following a vaccination almost doubled – from 828 to 1529. In total, Swissmedic recorded 1838 'serious adverse reactions' by 10th August. 69.6 per cent of the reports came from doctors, pharmacists and other healthcare professionals, the rest from patients and citizens.

In 2019, the last period with reliable comparative figures, Swissmedic recorded 19 adverse reactions with 'serious consequences' throughout the year (Corona-Transition reported).

Projected onto the whole year, the serious side effects reported so far – including 133 deaths – mean an increase of more than one hundred and fifty times. "The reports on undesirable effects received and analysed so far do not change the positive benefit-risk profile of the Covid-19 vaccines used in Switzerland," writes Swissmedic. And despite a temporal association, there would be "no concrete evidence in any case that the vaccination was the cause of the fatality".

The warning about the increase in myocardial inflammation was issued exclusively to physicians and medical professionals. According to Swissmedic, these should "advise vaccinated persons to seek medical advice and attention immediately in the event of chest pain, shortness of breath or beating of the heart (palpitations) or cardiac arrhythmias" and to avoid 'excessive physical exertion' if such symptoms occur. The warning is unlikely to reach all those who have already been vaccinated and are not currently in contact with a physician or do not report to the doctor's office until they have severe symptoms. There is no warning via the mass media, not even via the medical press.

18.8.21

A female veterinarian writes the following to us about this:

The letter from Pfizer and Moderna states that the medical professionals should point this out to the vaccinated patients/clients.

In plain language, this means that they should only inform them once they have been vaccinated, thus AFTER the so-called 'vaccination'!!!! (Although the information obligation before vaccination does exist, it is not fulfilled in most cases anyway.)

And secondly, it means that physicians have to write a letter or call all their vaccinated patients/clients to inform them. Go for it, hopefully something will soon change in the minds of those willing to be vaccinated. And thirdly: What about those who are not or have not been vaccinated directly by doctors in the pharmacy or elsewhere in a 'vaccination centre'. Are they kept from getting this information?

Source:

Moderna/Pfizer: Important safety-relevant information on the mRNA vaccines against COVID-19 (COVID-19 vaccine Moderna and Comirnaty): Risk of myocarditis and pericarditis - 12th August 2021

Swissmedic: Suspected adverse reactions to Covid-19 vaccines in Switzerland - Update - 13th August 2021

Swissmedic: Investigation of reports about myocarditis in connection with mRNA vaccines against Covid-19 - 4th June 2021

Source: <https://uncutnews.ch/herzmuskelentzuendungen-nach-impfung-haben-sich-seit-juni-vervierfacht-pfizer-und-moderna-warnen-die-schweizer-aerzte-in-einem-persoentlichen-brief/>

Stiko does not recommend compulsory vaccination for children – but only indirectly!

Author Vera Lengsfeld publishes

18th August 2021

For a few weeks, the Standing Committee on Vaccination remained steadfast and did not give in to the political pressure to issue a recommendation for the vaccination of children. Now it has buckled and issued the politically desired recommendation.

The reasoning is interesting in this context.

The "recommendation at that time was based on (a) the observation that children and young persons in Germany have a low risk of contracting severe COVID-19; (b) a limited knowledge of rare side effects of the new mRNA vaccines in this age group; (c) initial reports of myocarditis in temporal association with mRNA vaccination, especially in boys and young men; and (d) the low impact of vaccination of this age group on the further spread of infection in Germany according to modelling at that time. "

Now, however, new findings have emerged. Based on "new surveillance data, especially from the American vaccination programme with almost 10 million vaccinated children and young persons, possible risks of vaccination for this age group can now be quantified and assessed more reliably. The very rare heart myocardial inflammations observed primarily in young male vaccinated persons in connection with the vaccination must be regarded as vaccination side effects. In the majority of cases, the patients with these myocardial inflammations were hospitalised, but had an uncomplicated course under appropriate medical care. Conversely, recent studies from abroad indicate that heart involvement does also occur in COVID-19 disease."

One has to read this very carefully. So there are cases of myocarditis as a result of vaccination, especially in boys, that are so severe that they have to be hospitalised.

But they might also occur in covid conditions, which children are at low risk for. The ratio of cases is not said, which I interpret as being considerably lower in the second case.

The biggest problem was the fact that covid diseases are extremely rare in children.

The Stiko now circumvents this as follows: "Finally, current mathematical modelling, which takes into account the now dominant delta variant, revealed that there is a significantly higher risk for children and young persons of contracting SARS-CoV-2 in a possible 4th wave of infection".

This means nothing other than that there is no medical evidence for the alleged increased risk of infection. The fact that vaccinations are recommended on the basis of a mathematical model is probably new in the history of vaccination.

At the end of their announcement, the Stiko tries to dodge its responsibility. They write that their vaccination recommendation for 12 to 17 year-olds "is primarily aimed at the direct protection of vaccinated children and young persons against COVID-19 and the associated psychosocial consecutive symptoms. The vaccination should continue to be given after medical information on the benefits and risks. The STIKO explicitly opposes the idea of making vaccination a precondition for social participation for children and young persons.

Of course, those responsible know that their recommendation will be misused precisely to exclude children from social participation, especially from attending school. In Saxony-Anhalt, a new corona regulation has already come into effect, forcing parents to have their children compulsorily tested for school attendance. Children who have not been tested would no longer be allowed to attend school and parents would then be liable for a fine. This is sheer arbitrariness, which will be extended to compulsory vaccination for children as safe as the Bank of England.

Those who remain silent on this agree! Those who want to do something about it should join the petitions against child vaccinations, for example here:

<https://www.openpetition.de/petition/online/haende-weg-von-unseren-kindern>

Source: <https://vera-lengsfeld.de/2021/08/18/stiko-empfiehl-keinen-impfzwang-fuer-kinder-aber-nur-indirekt/#more-6120>

Newsflash:

Flight attendant, 36, dies despite vaccination; Singapore teenager due to vaccination side effects...; Forest fires and covid...

uncut-news.ch, August 17th, 2021

Southwest Airlines flight attendant, 36, dies of COVID-19

USA TODAY reports: <https://eu.usatoday.com/story/travel/airline-news/2021/08/11/southwest-airlines-flight-attendant-covid-maurice-reggie-shepperson/8100532002/>

Maurice "Reggie" Shepperson, a native New Yorker whose brightly colored pants earned him the nickname Skittles during training in 2014, tested positive for the coronavirus in early July and had been fighting it in a hospital for a month, according to Marcia Hildreth, a Southwest flight attendant who called him her best friend.

He was on a ventilator and died early Tuesday, his mother, Dawn Shepperson, told USA TODAY. A nurse told her it was from COVID-19.

Shepperson, 36, was fully vaccinated, his mother and Hildreth said. He loved to fly and took every precaution, wearing a mask, constantly washing his hands, sanitizing surfaces and wiping everything down in hotel rooms, Hildreth said.

Teen who had heart attack after vaccine dose to receive \$225,000

The 16-year-old teenager who suffered a heart attack six days after receiving his first dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech/Comirnaty COVID-19 vaccine will be eligible for a one-time financial assistance of \$225,000.

This will be provided under Singapore's Vaccine Injury Financial Assistance Programme (VIFAP), said the Ministry of Health (MOH) on Monday (16 August) in a press statement.

COVID-19 infections after a vaccination 'failure' can lead to long-lasting symptoms, Israeli study shows

Nearly 3% of medical workers in a new Israeli study contracted COVID-19 even though they were vaccinated, and 19% of them still had symptoms six weeks later.

Although the vaccines were never expected to be perfect, the findings raise questions about their protection and suggest that even vaccinated people could experience long-term symptoms such as such as fatigue, brain fog and shortness of breath.

Dr. Ashish Jha, dean of the Brown University School of Public Health, said he finds it concerning – though not conclusive – that people had lingering symptoms weeks after getting sick.

Study suggests lambda variant could evade COVID-19 vaccine protection

Fox News reports: <https://www.fox29.com/news/study-suggests-lambda-variant-could-evade-covid-19-vaccine-protection>

While the delta variant ravages much of the U.S., driving up cases and hospitalizations mostly among the unvaccinated, another variant known as lambda is devastating parts of South America, and scientists now worry it could neutralize or evade antibodies generated by vaccines.

In a not-yet-peer-reviewed study published on July 28 on bioRxiv by researchers in Japan, researchers said the lambda variant currently driving cases in 26 countries – including Chile, Peru, Argentina and Ecuador – is proving to contain as much viral material as the delta variant, thanks to a similar mutation.

Link between wildfires and COVID cases established

The Harvard Gazette reported:

<https://news.harvard.edu/gazette/story/2021/08/wildfire-smoke-linked-to-increase-in-covid-19-cases-and-deaths/>

Thousands of COVID-19 cases and deaths in California, Oregon, and Washington between March and December 2020 may be attributable to increases in fine particulate air pollution (PM2.5) from wildfire smoke, according to a new study co-authored by researchers at Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health. The study is the first to quantify the degree to which increases in PM2.5 pollution during the wildfires contributed to excess COVID-19 cases and deaths in the U.S. It will be published online Friday in Science Advances.

Source (in German): <https://uncutnews.ch/kurznews-flugbegleiterin-36-stirbt-trotz-impfung-teenager-aus-singapur-wegen-impf-nebenwirkungen-waldbraende>

Reports of Injuries, Deaths After COVID Vaccines Climb Steadily, as FDA, CDC Sign Off on Third Shot for Immunocompromised

The Defender, Children's Health Defense News and Views, 16th August, 2021

childrenshealthdefense.org: VAERS data released Friday by the CDC showed a total of 571,831 reports of adverse events from all age groups following COVID vaccines, including 12,791 deaths and 77,490 serious injuries between Dec. 14, 2020 and Aug. 6, 2021.

Data released Aug. 13 by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) showed that between Dec. 14, 2020 and Aug. 6, 2021, a total of 571,831 total adverse events were reported to VAERS, including 12,791 deaths — an increase of 425 over the previous week. There were 77,490 reports of serious injuries, including deaths, during the same time period — up 7,385 compared with the previous week.

Excluding “foreign reports” filed in VAERS, 451,049 adverse events, including 5,859 deaths and 36,871 serious injuries, were reported in the U.S. Of the 5,859 U.S. deaths reported as of Aug. 6, 13% occurred within 24 hours of vaccination, 19% occurred within 48 hours of vaccination and 33% occurred in people who experienced an onset of symptoms within 48 hours of being vaccinated.

In the U.S., 349.8 million COVID vaccine doses had been administered as of Aug. 6. This includes: 140 million doses of Moderna's vaccine, 196 million doses of Pfizer and 13 million doses of the Johnson & Johnson (J&J) COVID vaccine.

Contrarian or politician – who of them is the Fake News spreader?

7th Aug. 2021 08:16 a.m.

From the 23rd of August, unvaccinated people will be obliged to be tested for coronavirus components if they want to enter public spaces. The decision-makers justify this with statements on the effectiveness and safety of the vaccines, which in many cases are not tenable. Statements made by three German politicians in a fact check.

Contrarian or politician – who is the fake news spreader here?

Source: www.globallookpress.com © Christian Mang / dpa

I. Bavaria's Prime Minister Markus Soeder (CSU) in an interview with Stern on 11th August

"We actually have much higher incidence values now, that is to say among the unvaccinated – because we have a pandemic of the unvaccinated – as the vaccinated are basically not affected."

The CSU hardliner Soeder believes in a high estimated number of unreported cases – although only among the unvaccinated people. In fact, the latter are the sole carriers and spreaders of the virus. In the Stern interview, he does not reveal where Bavaria's prime minister got his wisdom from.

They cannot come from the Robert Koch Institute (RKI), which has mutated into a kind of truth institute during the pandemic. Its latest weekly report provides completely different data. According to this report, the number and share of breakthrough infections in the overall occurrence of infections in Germany also increases with the vaccination rate. So vaccinated people do indeed play a role.

More and more double vaccinated people symptomatically ill

In this, the RKI reports of 6,135 double-vaccinated persons within calendar weeks 28 to 31 alone – that is from 12th July to 8th August – who tested positive for the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus at the earliest two weeks after their last dose. Of those, 4,906 affected (80 per cent) were symptomatically ill, according to the report, and only these are assessed as vaccine breakthrough infections.

For an evaluation, these asymptomatic and symptomatic positive cases in twice vaccinated persons must now be put in relation to the total cases detected during this period. The RKI reported a total of 54,814 positive cases during this period of time. Its weekly report shows that of these 36,261 people (66 per cent) had developed symptoms. This means that 11.2 percent of all those who tested positive and 13.5 percent of all humans with symptoms had already been vaccinated twice.

The picture becomes even clearer if one takes the individual age groups. As Chancellor Angela Merkel (CDU) told the press after the Minister Presidents' Conference on 11th August, 'a good 80 percent of the over-60s' in Germany are vaccinated. This age group has had the fewest positive tests since the start of the warm season – from week 28 to 31, the RKI reports 2,381 symptomatic cases in the report. 753 of those affected had received their double vaccination – 31.6 per cent, or almost one third.

The vaccination rate is also somewhat lower among younger people, especially among those under 12 to 18 years of age. In this age group, the RKI reported 3,755 symptomatic cases for the four-week period, of which 48 (1.3 per cent) had already had the second vaccination. Among 18- to 59-year-olds, 4,105 out of 30,125 (13.6 per cent) had been vaccinated twice.

Something else becomes clear: with the vaccination rate in Germany, the proportion of double-vaccinated people among the positive cases increases. From week 25 to 28 (the RKI only reports this four-week period), it registered 1,542 positive cases, of which 1,128 were symptomatic, in other words vaccine breakthrough infections, among those having been vaccinated twice. In the weeks 28 to 31, there were already 6,135 positives, of which 4,906 were symptomatic – four times as many.

Vaccinated people probably at least temporarily as contagious as unvaccinated people

Because vaccinated people do not have to undergo testing as often, cases among them with no or very minor symptoms could also be significantly underestimated. In a study in Massachusetts (USA), for example, the researchers found that not only a high proportion of a group of infected persons had been vaccinated twice. Also the viral load in the latter was at least temporarily as high as in the unvaccinated. This would imply that they can transmit the virus during this time just as much as unvaccinated people. Scientists in Great Britain found out the same thing.

Conclusion:

Bavaria's Prime Minister Soeder could be accused of spreading populist fake news – whether intentionally or not, is anyone's guess. His assertion that it is exclusively a 'pandemic of the unvaccinated' is not tenable.

II. Federal Chancellor Angela Merkel (CDU) at the press conference on the corona round of minister presidents on 10th August

"We can assume that even with the delta mutation, the vaccination effect is very, very positive, especially with regard to severe courses of the pandemic"

Chancellor Merkel thus claims a 'very, very positive' effect of vaccination against the delta mutation of the coronavirus and then refers primarily to protection against severe courses of the disease. The Federal Ministry of Health (BMG) also changed to this narrative after media had repeatedly reported on vaccinated 'super spreaders' and particularly high seven-day incidences (positive cases per 100,000 inhabitants) had become known in countries with high vaccination rates. Whereas, at the same time, it continues to insist that the vaccines are 70 to 95 per cent effective against infection, depending on the agent.

High vaccination rate, but super-incidences

The situation in many countries initially shows a different picture with regard to the frequency of infection. In Gibraltar, with a vaccination rate of almost 100 per cent, incidence levels of around 600 were reported by the end of July, the highest since the beginning of the pandemic. In Iceland, despite high vaccination coverage, the incidence has now risen to over 400, in Spain to over 300.

In Israel, where almost 60 per cent of people are doubly vaccinated, the incidence has now risen to over 300. The country has also already recorded the first infections after a third vaccination, as the Swiss news portal NAU reported with reference to the Israeli broadcaster Channel 12. Of 14 who tested positive, two were being treated in a clinic with severe symptoms, it said. Shortly before, Israel had tightened its measures because apparently also the number of severe corona cases had increased.

On 16th July, Bild reported on a study from Great Britain, according to which 47 percent of the new positive cases had affected people who had been vaccinated at least once. At that time, around 69 percent of Britons had received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine. Since it is not known exactly at what time the data for the study were collected, it could have been lower at that point in time. According to this, the prevalence of the virus is still higher in the unvaccinated group. But a 70- to 95-percent protection can hardly be assumed.

Vaccinated people in hospital – Germany with a data gap

Severe cases among vaccinated people are probably also on the rise there. In mid-July, according to the Berliner Zeitung, 40 percent of COVID-19 patients in British clinics had been vaccinated at least once. Apparently, the vaccines do not prevent the risk of infection as strongly as propagated, and severe cases also occur more often.

In Germany, it is also becoming apparent that vaccinated people not only become infected more frequently over time, but also end up in hospital. In its latest weekly report, however, the RKI only provides selective data on those who have been vaccinated twice. Of a total of 4,906 symptomatic vaccination breakthrough infections in calendar weeks 28 to 31, 26 involved the so-called alpha variant and 2,699 the so-called delta mutation; of the rest, the virus variant is unknown. However, hospitalisations are only reported for these cases: a total of 95 in the last four weeks recorded, 3.5 percent.

Now, hospital does not automatically mean intensive care unit. But here there is a data gap, as the Rheinische Post (RP) reported a few days ago. In short: The RKI and the DIVI intensive care register do not record the vaccination status of these severe cases at all. Even when asked by the authoress, the RKI had stated that it did not have any figures on this. The RP found out through three chief physicians in three clinics that a total of ten COVID-19 patients were being cared for in an ICU – one of whom had been vaccinated twice.

Conclusion:

Merkel's assertion of the 'very, very positive vaccination effect' with regard to the delta mutation, 'especially with regard to severe courses', is based on shaky ground. According to the data, vaccinated people can become infected to a considerable extent and also become seriously ill. The effectiveness appears to be lower than indicated.

III. Daniel Guenther (CDU), Minister President of Schleswig-Holstein, after the tightening decisions for the unvaccinated on 10th August:

"I want to explicitly point out again that all clinical studies and also all empirical values in the vaccinations of millions of people also clearly show that we have safe and reliable vaccines and that no one need have any worries, but rather that this is an important support to get through this pandemic."

He wants to waive all testing and relax quarantine obligations for them.

A lot has already been said about the reliability of the vaccines, that is to say their protection against COVID-19, as propagated by Guenther here, which means that his claims do not stand up to journalistic scrutiny. However, their alleged safety, according to which 'nobody has to worry', is also questionable. It is about

possible adverse effects of the vaccine. One thing can be said with certainty: never before have so many suspected side effects of vaccines been reported to the authorities in charge as in the case of the four COVID-19 vaccines conditionally approved in Europe.

More suspected cases of side effects than ever before with vaccines

As of 12th August, 802,786 people have been reported to the European Medicines Agency (EMA) with suspected adverse reactions to all vaccines, around 700,000 of whom received the most commonly administered drugs from Pfizer/BioNTech and AstraZeneca. Only about one percent or less of the actual cases of mild side effects in particular are reported to the authorities, according to various studies. This can be found on the EMA page under the letter C for COVID-19 vaccines. Almost half of the reported even higher number of individual reactions are labelled as 'serious reactions'. The authority also records thousands of deaths in the temporal context of a vaccination.

It is well known that many suspected cases of adverse drug reactions are not being reported. According to studies, the reporting rate for mild suspected adverse drug reactions is less than one percent, for severe cases around ten percent. However, many reported cases are apparently not investigated, so that here too it is not clear whether there is a connection.

Already recognised are side effects such as thrombosis, amongst others of the cerebral veins, in connection with it (TTS), but also occurring independently, thrombocytopenia (lack of blood platelets, which can lead to internal bleeding) and cardiac inflammation, which are said to occur in children and young persons after one in 18,000 vaccinations, but also occur more frequently in younger adults, especially men.

Also being investigated are excessive menstrual bleeding in women, Guillain-Barré syndrome, an autoimmune inflammation of the spinal cord with paralysis, and tinnitus (ringing in the ears) and dizziness. The vaccine producers Pfizer and Moderna are furthermore investigating a connection with kidney failure and allergic skin reactions.

Reported cases are hardly ever examined by forensic medicine

The Paul Ehrlich Institute (PEI), which is responsible for Germany and has been behind with its safety reports for weeks, lists almost 107,000 reports of suspected vaccination reactions up to 30th June 2021, including a good 10,600 severe cases; a good 1,000 of the people affected died in a temporal connection with the vaccination. Fourteen women and ten men succumbed to TTS syndrome, a recognised side effect, following an AstraZeneca vaccination.

'Fact-checkers' such as the portal Correctiv, which is paid for this, always like to emphasise that these are only suspicious cases and that nothing has been proven. The problem is that the reported cases are hardly ever examined by forensic medicine, and the dead are rarely autopsied. The chief pathologist in Heidelberg, Peter Schirmacher, recently complained about this. He even assumes that there is a 'considerable number of unreported cases of vaccination deaths' and called for more and more targeted autopsies.

Conclusion:

There has been no political instruction for targeted autopsies so far, and the federal government's vaccination campaign simply continues. At the very least, questionable safety promises such as that of Schleswig-Holstein's Minister-President Guenther must serve as a basis for this.

Source: <https://de.rt.com/meinung/122372-querdenker-oder-politiker-wer-ist-fake-news-schleuder/>

Kidney failure and allergic reactions: Newly discovered side effects of mRNA vaccinations

12th Aug. 2021 05:10 p.m.

The European Medicines Agency (EMA) has announced that it is investigating three new possible side effects of Pfizer's and Moderna's mRNA vaccines. These are kidney failure and allergic skin shock reactions. The Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) confirmed on Wednesday that it is currently looking into three possible side effects of Pfizer's and Moderna's mRNA vaccines. These are kidney failure and allergic shock reactions of the skin. However, there are only a 'small number' of cases.

The skin disease studied is erythema multiforme, an allergic reaction manifested by red and purple skin lesions, especially around the mouth and the eyes. The two other side effects are related to kidney function. The vaccines are suspected of causing inflammation of the kidney filters. They are also thought to be responsible for kidney syndrome, which causes the release of certain proteins into the urine. This can cause

the urine to be bloody or foamy. Swollen eyelids, feet and soft tissues, and fatigue can also be symptoms. Those who have had kidney problems in the past are particularly at risk.

In July, the EMA had added myocarditis and pericarditis to the list of side effects of vaccination. These are various inflammations of the heart.

The EMA stated that the benefits of the genetic vaccinations would continue to clearly outweigh their health risks. However, only a small proportion of side effects are ever reported. Estimates suggest that only about one percent of mild and about ten percent of severe adverse reactions to vaccines are reported.

According to the EMA, 43.5 million doses of Moderna's Spikevax and 330 million doses of Pfizer/BioNTech's Comirnaty have been vaccinated to date.

Source: <https://de.rt.com/europa/122278-neu>

New BioNTech Study: Effect of mRNA vaccination 100 to minus 105 per cent

By Tim Sumpf 12th August 2021 Updated: 12th August 2021 03:45 p.m.

A new evaluation of the BioNTech study confirms the (relative) effectiveness of their vaccine of 91 percent, but leaves many other questions unanswered and raises new ones. For example, there are extreme differences between the countries which the test persons come from. Inconsistencies in the number of the study participants and 34 deaths for which no or only insufficient data are available. In view of these, 'a 100 per cent failure of the vaccine' can be assumed.

BioNTech and Pfizer, in their review of the latest interim results on the 'Safety and Effectiveness of BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccination', confirmed the (relative) efficacy of the 'Comirnaty' vaccine of about 90 percent. However, the authors, many employed by Pfizer and financially supported by BioNTech, also speak of 34 study participants who died – 20 (subsequently) vaccinated and 14 unvaccinated.

A look at the tables published exclusively in the appendix of the study in combination with a little knowledge of basic arithmetic also shows: there were more than 8.5 times as many 'severe' side effects among the vaccinated persons than 'severe' corona cases among the unvaccinated. Moreover, the relative efficacy reported varies from 100 per cent in South Africa to minus 104.5 per cent in Germany, depending on the countries from which the study participants came.

Further points at a glance:

The study has not yet been peer-reviewed and is therefore explicitly not a 'guideline for health-related behaviour'.

The relative protective effect diminishes over time and, mathematically, disappears completely after about two years. The absolute protection is currently at 3.5 percent.

Possible long-term consequences cannot be observed. The comparison group was effectively dissolved.

Among vaccinated people, there might be up to 12 times more corona deaths than in the placebo group.

Explicitly not a basis for decision-making!

Since Wednesday, the 28th of July 2021, the new evaluation of the vaccine study by BioNTech and Pfizer is available on medRxiv. On 21 pages (pdf), 32 authors, 22 of whom are directly connected with the vaccine manufacturers, report on the effects of the vaccines and 'few side effects'.

medRxiv sees itself, according to its own statement, as a 'pre-print server for health sciences'. The results published therein are "preliminary reports of work that have not yet been peer-reviewed. They should not be used as a guideline for clinical practice or health-related behaviour and should not be published in the media as verified information."

Both the results and conclusions are therefore made under reserve of scientific verification. Whether they will also be treated with corresponding caution in politics remains to be seen. Furthermore, however, several points of criticism are already apparent in the BioNTech study, which should be taken into account in an examination and, as the circumstances require, should be amended:

Vaccine effectiveness: a question of time and counting

The summary of the results states: "(The vaccine) continued to prove safe and well tolerated. [...] The VE against Covid-19 was 91 percent." But the same paragraph also says: "Few participants had adverse events that led to study discontinuation." More on this later.

VE stands for 'vaccine efficiency' and is the relative effectiveness or efficacy. 90 percent protection does therefore not mean that 90 percent of the vaccinated are protected, but that the number of confirmed corona cases in the vaccinated group is 90 percent lower than among the unvaccinated. It should be noted

that also in the latter group, less than four percent of the study participants counted as corona cases – more than 97 percent of whom did not show any severe symptoms.

It is also still questionable who counts as a corona case according to BioNTech's definition. Earlier analyses by the manufacturers did neither include positive, asymptomatic cases, nor symptomatic persons with false-negative tests. An evaluation of the data under consideration of these cases dropped the relative efficacy to below 30 per cent.

The absolute protective effect is also not stated in the BioNTech study. However, it can be calculated directly from the case numbers and is far below the stated 90 percent. With 889 corona cases in the placebo group and 82 cases in the vaccine group within six months after the complete vaccination, BNT162b2 was able to prevent about 807 of 23,040 vaccinated persons from (mild) covid-19. This corresponds to 3.5 per cent of those vaccinated. Up to about three months after vaccination, the value was at 0.84 percent – given the shorter time period and the smaller number of cases.


If the absolute protective effect continues to increase, it will be around 30 percent after three years. Theoretically.

Booster vaccination after two years at the latest

While the absolute protective effect increased, the authors point out that the relative protective effect decreased. While this was 96.2 per cent in the first two months after vaccination, according to the researchers' evaluation, it dropped to 90.1 per cent for the period two to four months after vaccination. After four to six months, the protection was only 83.7 percent according to the study.

Corona cases and relative efficacies over the course of the BioNTech trial.

Corona-Fälle und relative Wirksamkeit seit der ersten Impfung



Corona cases and relative efficacy over the course of the trial. Photo: ts/Epoch Times as per Dr. J Absalon (Pfizer Inc.) and others (2021), Table Fig. 2, p. 15, "Six Month Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine"; doi.org/ 10.1101/2021.07.28.21261159; Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

If the relative efficacy continues to decline at this rate, it can be expected to be around 55 to 65 percent after another six months. In about two to two and a half years, the protective effect would have disappeared completely.

The results thus support the call of various politicians for booster vaccinations. It is not yet clear when these would make sense. It is also unclear whether and to what extent they can increase the relative protective effect again. Various scientists, including the former vice-president of Pfizer, Michael Yeadon, warn of "harmful booster vaccinations".

In contrast, other studies do not confirm lifelong protection for those who have recovered, but at least for several decades. With regard to SARS-CoV-1, natural immunity after recovery has been shown to last up to 17 years, with other diseases up to more than 20 years. Yeadon also expects immunity to SARS-CoV-2 to last 'probably decades'.

Pure confusion: More study participants after six months

Regardless of case definition, absolute and relative effect as well as natural immunity – which is not mentioned in the study – further inconsistencies in the data and the evaluation become apparent.

A closer look at the number of cases and participants reveals that thousands of participants must have been added to the study within the last three months. According to documents from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the first BioNTech study included 43,651 volunteers. For the evaluation of effectiveness after double vaccination and comprehensive testing, 36,523 people were still eligible.

Due to an extension of the survey to 12- to 15-year-olds, 2,260 study participants were added in March, so that the total number of available participants should amount to a maximum of 45,911. Due to exclusion and withdraws, the actual number of participants is likely to be significantly lower. Further studies, among others with seriously ill children, are planned.

However, according to the table headers (Fig. 2, Tab. S5 and S6), the current evaluation refers to 46,077 persons. A chart on the selection process mentions 45,441 study participants over 16 years of age, of whom 41,128 were available for follow-up.

Elsewhere, the authors refer to 44,486 persons 'with and without previous infection' (Tab. 2), 44,047 'persons over 16 years' (Tab. 1 and S2) or 43,847 persons 'with at least one dose (vaccine or placebo)' (Tab. S3). In the first paragraph of the 'Results', on the other hand, one reads of 44,060 at least singly vaccinated, 98 percent of whom received a second dose. That would be about 43,180 double vaccinated.

(Editor's note: If you know how the differences come about, write to us at office@epochtimes.de, keyword: 'Study participants BioNTech').

(Relatively) clear are the data that in each group just over 20 percent had one or more known pre-existing conditions, that half of all participants were 51 years or older at the time of vaccination, and that they came from Argentina, Brazil, Germany, South Africa, Turkey and the USA.

Poor results are being withheld

Besides the (missing) explanation of the number of participants, one number in particular strikes the eye, or rather not, because it is kept secret by the authors in the BioNTech study:

While the excellent efficacy of the vaccines in South Africa – 100 percent – is mentioned both in the summary and in the study, one searches in vain for the inconvenient value from Germany – minus 104.5 percent efficacy – in the study. What is hidden in Table S5 is not mentioned in the entire study. The only reference is to be found in another context.

" The VE (relative efficacy) of 86 per cent to 100 per cent was observed transnationally and in (different) demographic groups [...]", the authors wrote in the summary of the results.

In the course of the study, they then apparently elaborate on the values of Argentina, Brazil and South Africa: "BNT 162b2 was also highly efficacious in several geographic regions, including North America, Europe, South Africa and Latin America. Although the VE was somewhat lower in Latin American countries, BNT162b2 had a high efficacy of about 86 per cent in Argentina and Brazil. (...) BNT162b2 (had) 100 per cent efficacy against Covid-19 in South Africa."

If one now looks at the corresponding table in the appendix, it is noticeable that Argentina was mentioned in the text, yet the exact value was concealed, although about twice as many study participants live in Argentina as in Brazil. Why the Brazilian value was taken instead remains unmentioned. One possible explanation could be the figure itself: it is about eight percentage points lower than the figure for Brazil: 78.3 per cent.

The efficacy by country in detail is as follows:

	Studienteilnehmer		COVID-19-Fälle		relative	
	BNT162b2	Placebo	BNT162b2 (pro 100.000)	Placebo (pro 100.000)	Wirksamkeit	95%-Intervall
Argentinien	2.883	2.881	32 (1.110)	146 (5.068)	78,3	(68,0 - 85,7)
Brasilien	1.452	1.448	14 (964)	95 (6.561)	86,1	(75,6 - 92,7)
Deutschland	249	250	2 (803)	1 (400)	-104,5	(-11.965,9 - 89,4)
Südafrika	401	399	0 (0)	11 (2.757)	100,0	(61,1 - 100,0)
Türkei	249	249	3 (1.205)	12 (4.819)	76,4	(12,4 - 95,7)
USA	16.792	16.794	80 (476)	769 (4.579)	90,0	(87,4 - 92,1)
Gesamt	22.026	22.021	131 (595)	1034 (4.696)	87,8	(85,3 - 89,9)

Study participants, corona cases and (relative) efficacy by country. Combined data from BioNTech study and appendix.

Study participants, corona cases and (relative) efficacy by country. Photo: ts/Epoch Times as per Dr. J Absalon (Pfizer Inc.) and others (2021), Table 1, p. 17 (study) and Table S5, p. 13f (appendix), "Six Month Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine"; doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.28.21261159; Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

Thus, the efficacy in Turkey with 76.4 percent also remains unmentioned. The mention of the efficacy of the BioNTech vaccine among German participants would be even more unfavourable. It is minus 104.5 percent. This means that the risk of falling ill after a BioNTech Corona vaccination is more than twice as high as without vaccination, according to the figures.

However, there are (also) statistical reasons why the German value was not mentioned. From the Federal Republic, the BioNTech study counts a total of only three corona cases among about 500 study participants, two vaccinated and one unvaccinated. The 95 percent confidence interval of efficacy is correspondingly wide at -11,965.9 to 89.4. This interval means that there is a 95 per cent probability that the efficacy lies somewhere between the given values.

Due to the small number of cases, the German value is not statistically significant, which is why no statement can be made with it. The situation is different in Argentina, where 178 out of about 5,700 test persons fell ill.

No long-term consequences observed ... observable

Also, elsewhere, less desirable outcomes might not be reported or even recorded. For example, the placebo group may not be denied the vaccine.

After completion of the first study, the study participants were therefore offered to 'unblind' them, that is to say, to inform them whether they had previously received active substance or placebo. Subsequently, all persons aged 16 years and older "who were eligible for Covid 19 vaccination based on national/local recommendations" were given the chance to receive the 'right vaccine'. This was previously announced by BioNTech and Pfizer.

However, neither the BioNTech study nor the appendix shows how many accepted this offer. At the competitor Moderna, 98 per cent accepted the offer, according to a press release. Without any further data, it can be assumed that the value is similarly high at BioNTech/Pfizer. This not only made long-term monitoring much more difficult, but effectively aborted the study. A comparison is impossible without a comparison group.

Other studies on sequelae, including infertility, raise doubts even before completion because they involve only very few participants and/or a short period of time. Within six months, it is more than unlikely to detect any lasting effects, or whether these may diminish over time. Late effects, which can occur even years later, cannot be determined in this way.

Five deaths 'after vaccination' not included in the evaluation

Already during the period of the first evaluation by BioNTech and Pfizer, six people died. The documents submitted to the FDA state: "A total of six (2 vaccine, 4 placebo) participants died during the reporting period (29. 4. - 14. 11. 2020). (One vaccinated) suffered cardiac arrest 62 days after the second vaccination and died three days later. The other died of arteriosclerosis three days after the first vaccination. The placebo recipients died of heart attack, haemorrhagic stroke or (an) unknown cause."

In total, five out of six deceased were over 55 years old. All deaths were also "events that occur with similar frequency in the general population of the age groups in which they occurred".

In the current BioNTech study, the authors report 34 deaths under the heading 'Adverse events': "During the blinded, controlled time period, 15 BNT162b2 and 14 placebo recipients died. During the open-label period, 3 BNT162b2 and 2 original placebo recipients who received BNT162b2 after unblinding died. None of these deaths were considered by the investigators to be related to BNT162b2. Causes of death were balanced between the BNT162b2 and placebo groups." (compare Tab. S4, see below)

In other words, 20 people died after receiving the vaccination and 14 people died after receiving the placebo.

However, the causes of death in Table S4 are not balanced at all. Yes, the total number in both groups is similar, but the table only covers the period until unblinding. The five deaths among the subsequently vaccinated are not listed. It is therefore not apparent what these five died of. In contrast to the first evaluation, the time of death in relation to the vaccination is also not listed.

Assume '100 percent failure of Pfizer vaccine'

The distinction between Covid-19 deaths (two in the placebo group) and Covid-19 deaths due to pneumonia (one vaccinated person) is also striking. 'Pneumonia' (without corona) is responsible for two more deaths according to the table. Other notable occurrences are four deaths from cardiac arrest in vaccinated persons,

but no deaths from cardiac arrest in unvaccinated individuals, as well as the causes of death: 'Death', 'Missing' and 'Not assessable event'.

In addition, a commentator on the study pointed out that another cause of death does not rule out Covid 19 infection. "A Covid 19 infection has been shown to be the most common cause of, and directly related to, almost all of the complications listed in the table", including cardiac arrest, congestive heart failure, cardiorespiratory arrest, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysematous cholecystitis, hypertensive cardiac disease, and sepsis and septic shock.

Adding up all these causes of death, the Covid deaths and the fatal pneumonia cases, at least ten deaths from the vaccine group and a maximum of six placebo deaths could be due to corona. Since BioNTech/Pfizer did not mention whether the placebo or vaccine deaths were infected, "we can assume that they were," the commentator said. In this case, he said, it is reasonable to assume a "100 per cent failure of the Pfizer vaccine to reduce mortality from Covid".

The relative efficacy of the Comirnaty vaccine in preventing deaths is – analogous to the calculation from the BioNTech study, regardless of the (fortunately) small number of cases and in the best case for the vaccine, that is to say the fewest vaccine deaths and the most placebo deaths – minus 66.6 per cent. In the worst case, the efficacy drops to minus 1,100 per cent. This means that possibly two-thirds up to eleven times more vaccinated people died of (or with) corona than unvaccinated people.

At this point, it should be mentioned once more that the BioNTech study is currently a matter of pre-published, unverified results. The only conclusion that can really be proven from these data is that about the same number of people from the vaccine and placebo groups died until unblinding.

More side effects than expected? BioNTech and Moderna shares slumped

12th Aug. 2021 03:31 p.m.

The shares of the mRNA vaccine manufacturers BioNTech and Moderna have slumped following an announcement yesterday by the EMA that it is investigating new possible side effects. These involve a skin reaction and two forms of kidney inflammation.

An announcement by the EMA, which was immediately distributed by the Reuters news agency, may have been the cause of the slump in the share prices of the mRNA vaccine manufacturers BioNTech and Moderna. The cases in question are erythema multiforme, a skin rash indicating an allergic reaction, and two different forms of kidney disease: Glomerulonephritis, a renal inflammation in the 'filtering zone' of the kidneys, and nephrotic syndrome, another inflammation that leads to oedema and severe protein loss and can manifest with bloody or foamy urine.

The BioNTech and Moderna share prices fell by 14 and 16 per cent respectively, but have since partially recovered.

According to the EMA, the present cases would first have to be further investigated. However, it is known that the reported side effects only comprise a small part of the actual cases.

Another factor that may have contributed to the fall in the share price was the news from Israel that patients are now known to have fallen ill after a third vaccination, which gives an extremely unfavourable prognosis for the booster vaccination strategy.

Source: <https://de.rt.com/inland/122298-nebenwirkungen>

The newly envisaged measure that vaccinated people no longer have to get tested is a masterstroke

uncut-news.ch, August 11th, 2021

Was sent to us by mail

This easily kills several birds with one stone:

1. At the latest when you have to show a negative test in the supermarket, a lot of people who actually didn't want to get vaccinated will do so because they are tired of having to be tested for every trifle. Many of them will already be on their way to that point, because they want to get their normal lives back.
2. It will be soon ascertained how excellently the vaccination works - and that vaccinated people cannot transmit the virus, because the vaccinated people are no longer being tested. Thus, nobody will notice when they are positive and carriers. The vaccinated can be given back more basic rights.

3. The more people are vaccinated and the less is therefore being tested, the lower the incidence values will be and the more 'successful' the vaccination will logically be. This is also why there will never be a positive rate.

4. Of course, you will only find positive individuals among the unvaccinated and any frustration about remaining measures will be unleashed on these people and thus increase the vaccination pressure. Only the hard-boiled will be able to endure this.

5. This also avoids the difficulty of explanation that would arise if masses of positive results were found among the tested vaccinated persons. Since this group is no longer being tested, they can be labelled 'healthy' as well as 'asymptomatic', which is used for all unvaccinated people – and one no longer has to put up with stinging questions about the high positive rate after vaccinations.

6. At some point, it will mainly be the children who are still positive and thus 'infection drivers'. Then a vaccine for children will be approved very quickly and mandatory vaccination, as with measles, will be introduced. Only vaccinated children will be admitted to kindergarten and school.

7. With this, more than 90% of the population has been vaccinated and 'the virus is defeated'. But in the meantime, the really bad mutation ABCD1.1111111 comes along, the vaccination has to be slightly modified and everyone has to get a booster again.

8. Finally, one can also justify the obligation to produce proof and thus the utilisation of expensively paid apps in order to advance the virtualisation of clinical data.

In short: the greater the vaccination readiness, the closer the introduction of a digital proof of vaccination. Cleverly done.

This is a way to further deceive and divide the population and to continue a perfidious agenda.

Source: <https://uncutnews.ch/die-neu-angedachte-massnahme-dass-geimpfte-sich-nicht-mehr-testen-lassen-muessen-ist-ein-genialer>

CDC director argues that immunisation passports are useless

uncut-news.ch, August 11th 2021

In a CNN interview today, the directress of the CDC made a crucial admission. According to CDC directress Rochelle Walensky, the vaccine does not prevent COVID-19 infection, nor does it prevent the transmission of the virus or the delta variant by the vaccinated person.

According to directress Walensky, the main current benefit of the vaccine is that it probably alleviates the severity of symptoms.

As CDC TODAY reported, both vaccinated and unvaccinated persons entering a restaurant, a business, an organisation, an event or a workplace pose the same risk to other people.

Furthermore, their entire statement is illogical. There is no evidence that asymptomatic vaccinated carriers are asymptomatic as a result of the vaccine. There are almost certainly as many unvaccinated carriers who are asymptomatic as Humans Are Free reports.

In the data, the opposite is also true. There are as many symptomatic (that is, sick) vaccinated carriers as symptomatic unvaccinated carriers (in other words sick ones). The percentage share of vaccinated and unvaccinated patients in the hospital is identical to the mix of vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals in the environment of the hospital.

COVID-19 infection rates continue to increase in regional populations with exceptionally high vaccination rates. However, the percentage of people vaccinated in hospitals is the same as that of people vaccinated in the community.

According to the NWO report, almost 99% of the inhabitants of Gibraltar, a Spanish peninsula, are fully vaccinated. Despite the high vaccination rate, COVID-19 cases seem to increase by 2500% every day.

By June 1, over 99% of Gibraltar's population was fully vaccinated.

Since that time, new COVID cases per day have increased more than 2500%. pic.twitter.com/LLqGz6ofiW
— Michael P Senger (@MichaelPSenger) July 29, 2021

Also in Iceland, an island where almost the entire adult population is vaccinated, COVID-19 cases are on the rise.

"The country is a paradise for anti-vaccinationists," reports journalist Alex Berenson. "90% of 40-70 year olds and 98% (!) of those over 70 are fully vaccinated."

1/ Meanwhile, back to Iceland for a minute.

The country is a vaccinators' paradise. 90% of people 40-70 and 98% (!) of those over 70 are fully vaccinated.

On June 26, it abolished all lockdown rules. «Thank you for this joint struggle,» the Health Minister said.

Alas. <https://t.co/T76xcpzX20>

— Alex Berenson (@AlexBerenson) July 27, 2021

On Thursday, Singapore became another place where the vaccinated appear to be spreading COVID-19. The government announced that vaccinated people account for about three-quarters of new COVID-19 infections.

In Sweden, where the vaccination rate is at 39% and the government does not mandate face masks, there have been no COVID-19 deaths.

For those questioning the 37% stat, this refers to full vaccination. It's now up to 39.3%. pic.twitter.com/p2U1I4NZvg

— Josh Steimle (@joshsteimle) July 28, 2021

So what exactly is the vaccination certificate expected to be useful for?

SOURCE: CDC DIRECTOR ARGUES THAT VACCINATION PASSPORTS ARE USELESS

Source (in German): <https://uncutnews.ch/cdc-direktor-argumentiert-dass-impfpaesse-nutzlos-sind/>

Note 1: CDS stands for 'Centers for Disease Control and Prevention', see www.cdc.gov

Note 2: The claim that there have been no COVID 19 deaths in Sweden so far is, of course, sheer nonsense.

The Vaccination Billionaires

Jens Berger, 10th August 2021 at 11:53 a.m.

The German government intends to proceed against those who are unwilling to be vaccinated with all its might, and behind the scenes negotiations about third and booster vaccinations are already underway. Somehow the ordered vaccine has to be injected, after all, the EU ordered another 1.8 thousand million vaccine doses from the German company BioNTech only by the end of May – and this, mind you, not for the current vaccination campaign, but for the time period from December 2021 to 2023. This is less about health than about big money. Only yesterday BioNTech reported a profit of 2.8 thousand million euros for the last quarter – and this with a turnover of just 5.3 thousand million euros. The corona vaccines are the licence to print money. Research and production capacities were largely financed by the taxpayer, hence the general public. We now have to pay thousands of millions for absurdly overpriced vaccine doses. This pleases the new vaccination billionaires. BioNTech founder Ugur Sahin is now one of the ten richest Germans with a fortune of more than US\$ 18 thousand million; BioNTech major shareholders Andreas and Thomas Struengmann, with a company share of US\$ 52 thousand million, have now even surpassed the discounter dynasty Albrecht and are now the richest Germans.

Source: <https://www.nachdenkseiten.de/?p=75040>

Chief pathologist calls for increased autopsies: Out of 40 vaccinated people 30-40% have died

Mo, 09th Aug 2021 06:12 p.m. UTC

Peter Schirmacher, chief pathologist at the University of Heidelberg, demands that more autopsies be performed on people who have received a vaccination and later died.

In addition to corona deaths, the corpses of people who die in a temporal connection with a vaccination must also be examined more frequently, Schirmacher told the Deutsche Presse-Agentur in Stuttgart. The director of the Institute of Pathology in Heidelberg even warns of a high estimated number of unknown cases of vaccination deaths and complains: pathologists do not even notice most of the patients who die after and possibly due to a vaccination.

- Augsburg Allgemeine

Schirmacher further puts his demand in concrete terms:

"The coroner does not establish a context with the vaccination and certifies a natural death and the patient is buried," Schirmacher reports. "Or he certifies an unclear mode of death and the public prosecutor's office sees no third-party negligence and releases the corpse for burial."

- Augsburg Allgemeine

There have already been about 40 autopsies of human beings who received a vaccination and died within two weeks. Schirmacher states that about 30 to 40% died from the vaccination and that the consequences of the vaccination are underestimated.

Schirmacher, of course, receives criticism from many 'scientists'. The Stiko and the Paul Ehrlich Institute see absolutely no danger in the vaccinations. Mind you, the mRNA vaccines in particular are experimental substances that have been approved for the market within a few months.

Schirmacher insists on his opinion. "The colleagues are certainly wrong about this, because they cannot competently assess this specific question," he responded.

- Augsburg Allgemeine

The Federal Association of German Pathologists is urging for more autopsies of vaccinated persons as well.

Source: <https://de.sott.net/article/35292-Chefpathologe-fordert-verstärkte-Obduktionen-Von-40-Geimpften-sind-30-40-gestorben>

Dr. Robert Malone: "Pfizer and Israel have an agreement that adverse effects of the Covid-19 vaccine will be concealed for 10 YEARS!"

uncut-news.ch, August 11th, 2021

Dr. Robert Malone, the inventor of mRNA vaccines, tweeted that an agreement has been reached between Pfizer and the Israeli government that no adverse effects of Covid-19 will be disclosed for at least 10 years. Dr. Malone tweeted (see translation below):

"This is key to understanding "what the heck is going on". Apparently in Israel, I am told by an Israeli scientist, the agreement between Pfizer and the government is that no adverse events from the vax are to be disclosed for a minimum of 10 years." <https://t.co/aLcLUpVQHP>

— Robert W Malone, MD (@RWMaloneMD) August 8th, 2021

Israel is currently being hit by a wave of breakthrough cases that have led to hospitals being overrun by fully vaccinated human beings.

Ran Israeli, a regulatory affairs specialist and computer biologist, tweeted an excerpt from the news of Chanel 13 in Israel, stating that 95% of seriously ill patients in hospital are vaccinated, while 85-90% of hospital admissions account for fully vaccinated humans.

"95% of the severe patients are vaccinated".

"85-90% of the hospitalizations are in Fully vaccinated people."

"We are opening more and more COVID wards."

"The effectiveness of the vaccine is waning/fading out"

(Dr. Kobi Haviv, earlier today on Chanel 13 @newsisrael13) pic.twitter.com/SpLZewiRpQ

— Ran Israeli (@RanIsraeli) August 5th, 2021

This information comes after 14 Israelis recently contracted Covid-19 even after a third booster vaccination, leading to hospitalisation in some cases.

Israel was the first country to introduce a large-scale booster vaccination programme for people aged 60 and over who have already been fully vaccinated with the Covid 19 vaccine. This programme was only announced by the end of July, and the first data is now starting to leak out.

According to official information, Israel has got the highest vaccination rate in the world, with 5.3 million residents having received two doses of the vaccine. Just a fortnight ago, headlines proclaimed that the country had achieved 'herd immunity' – only to then give way to reports of an alarming rise in breakthrough infection cases.

The data now seems to prove that the beloved Covid booster vaccination no longer offers protection. The 'Times of Israel' wrote on Sunday: "Internal Health Ministry data show that 14 Israelis were infected with COVID-19 a week after a booster shot, Channel 12 News reports."

The Israeli media is now reporting that the number of 'severe cases' has reached a four-month high and more than 324 patients have been hospitalised, many of whom are in critical condition.

It was only a little over a week ago that elderly Israelis received the third booster vaccination, so it is only now that the first results are available, which seem to show that the vaccine does more harm than good.

The 'Times of Israel' reported: "The network says 11 of those who have been infected are over 60 years old – two of whom have now been hospitalised – while the other three received their third dose because they are immunocompromised."

"If the figures are confirmed in larger spot checks, they could cast doubt on the efficacy of the booster vaccination, which Israel has already administered before the world's major health boards have approved it."

Channel 12 reported that the confirmed new infections were determined based on tests conducted a week after the group received the third vaccination. Three of those affected were described as 'younger patients'. The 'Jerusalem Post' published more information about the new breakthrough infection cases of the booster vaccination, writing, "Of the 422,326 Israelis who have so far received their third dose of Pfizer's

coronavirus vaccine, 14 have so far contracted the disease when tested a week after vaccination, N12 reported on Sunday evening."

Out of the 14 confirmed cases, 11 are over 60 years old and 3 are younger patients who are at higher risk due to immunosuppressive diseases. Two have been hospitalised so far.

ISRAEL: JUST IN: 14 Israelis got COVID a week after receiving their third vaccine (booster) shot. 11 of them are over 60, 2 of them hospitalized.

— KolHaolam (@KolHaolam) August 8th, 2021

It seems that in the midst of the current Delta variant wave, the Covid booster vaccinations are completely ineffective and useless, and only take people to hospital.

We have to ask ourselves how many of those who were fully vaccinated were treated in hospital for side effects of the vaccination, although we will probably not know the full extent of the damage for another 10 years....

Source: <https://uncutnews.ch/dr-robert-malone-pfizer-und-israel-haben-eine-vereinbarung-das-nebenwirkungen-des-covid-19-impfstoffs-fuer-10-jahre-verheimlicht-werden-sollen/>

US Physicians will now lose their medical license for reporting vaccine injuries and providing informed consent to patients

Friday, August 06th, 2021 by: Lance D Johnson ,<https://www.naturalnews.com/2021-08-06-us-physicians-lose-medical-license-reporting-vaccine-injuries-informed-consent.html>

When physicians receive their medical license, they must pronounce their intellectual devotion and allegiance to the vaccine industry and its myriad of false narratives. Any healthcare professional who dares question "the science" risks losing their medical license. Any doctor who speaks out-of-line against forceful vaccine propaganda could be stripped of their title; their career destroyed; their reputation smeared. On July 29, 2021, the Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB) warned all healthcare professionals that they could lose their medical license if they create or spread so-called "COVID-19 vaccine misinformation."

No free speech for physicians, who are officially only allowed to spout vaccine propaganda

Doctors are no longer allowed to say anything that could "sow distrust" about covid-19 vaccines, and they won't be allowed to collect their own data, share information with other doctors, make observations, or draw their own conclusions. Doctors will no longer be allowed to speak out in interviews with the media, unless the interview promotes vaccines. Healthcare professionals are no longer allowed to speak up on social media, in their private medical practice, or on their own personal website. Wellness pioneer, Dr. Joseph Mercola, was even threatened to take down 25 years of research from his website. Doctors will no longer be allowed to speak about the medical issues caused by the vaccines, and will inevitably file fewer vaccine injury reports with the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS). This government reporting system has been flooded with vaccine injury reports in 2021, with hundreds of thousands of serious injuries and tens of thousands of wrongful death reports coming from the experimental covid-19 vaccines.

State medical boards demand allegiance to the vaccine industry

The FSMB represents every medical board across the United States and will now use their authority to gag doctors and control their practice. When the organization spots "COVID-19 vaccine misinformation" in interviews, medical literature, recorded discussions or social media posts, they will punish the doctor and refer them for disciplinary action with their respective state medical board. If a doctor divulges the risks of the vaccines, and the benefits of natural immunity, he could be targeted by the all-knowing, all-powerful FSMB.

If a doctor provides informed consent, immune system solutions or treatment paths, his medical license could be suspended or revoked. Dr. Eric Nepute of St. Louis was even charged by the FTC for promoting zinc and vitamin D, two efficacious treatments. America's Frontline Doctors and medical professionals across the country are facing many levels of censorship and intimidation. The FSMB asserts: "Due to their specialized knowledge and training, licensed physicians possess a high degree of public trust and therefore have a powerful platform in society, whether they recognize it or not." "They also have an ethical and professional responsibility to practice medicine in the best interests of their patients and must share information that is factual, scientifically grounded and consensus-driven for the betterment of public health." The FSMB is now just another enforcement arm of the vaccine industry, controlling the speech of doctors and determining what the facts are. In this way, the vaccine industry treats doctors as unintelligible puppets who must spout out fraudulent narratives about immunity and health. This subservience to the vaccine industry is exacerbated by a federal government that claims "COVID-19 vaccine misinformation is killing people." The federal government now admits that they and the Surgeon

General work with social media platforms to eliminate information that does not worship vaccine "science." In truth, health care professionals are being threatened to abandon their conscience and their medical ethics. Basic medical principles such as informed consent are now considered "COVID-19 vaccine misinformation" if that information leads a patient to decide that a vaccine is not right for them. The FSMB is now violating the Nuremberg Code and will be enforcing GAVI's vax-all agenda, which disregards the science of natural immunity and uses censorship to coerce and intimidate countless people to comply with needless medical fraud (covid-19 vaccination).

To the sources belong: TheBeckersHospitalReview.com NaturalNews.com

SOURCE: US PHYSICIANS WILL NOW LOSE THEIR MEDICAL LICENSE FOR REPORTING VACCINE INJURIES AND PROVIDING INFORMED CONSENT TO PATIENTS

Source (in German): <https://uncutnews.ch/us-aerzte-verlieren-jetzt-ihre-zulassung-wenn-sie-impfschaeden-melden-und-patienten>

A Study: mRNA vaccine may cause 'tragic and even catastrophic' side effects

August 10th 2021, 2:15 pm by Jamie White:

<https://www.infowars.com/posts/bombshell-study-finds-mrna-vaccine-may-cause-tragic-and-even-catastrophic-side-effects/>

A peer-reviewed U.S. study found that the experimental COVID vaccine being rolled out across the world poses multiple serious adverse side effect risks.

The May 2021 study, called "Worse than the Disease? Reviewing Some Possible Unintended Consequences of the mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-19" published in the International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice and Research, was conducted by senior scientist Dr. Stephanie Seneff at the MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, and Naturopathic oncology specialist Dr. Greg Nigh.

The study thoroughly analyzes the possible pathways in which the experimental mRNA vaccines from Pfizer and Moderna could be causing serious adverse effects in vaccinated individuals.

"Both are delivered through muscle injection, and both require deep-freeze storage to keep the RNA from breaking down," Seneff and Nigh stated.

"This is because, unlike double-stranded DNA which is very stable, single-strand RNA products are apt to be damaged or rendered powerless at warm temperatures and must be kept extremely cold to retain their potential efficacy."

"This form of mRNA delivered in the vaccine is never seen in nature, and therefore has the potential for unknown consequences...manipulation of the code of life could lead to completely unanticipated negative effects, potentially long term or even permanent."

The study explained how one notable vaccine side effect called antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) is brought on by the spike proteins produced in the human body via the mRNA injection.

"The mRNA vaccines ultimately deliver the highly antigenic spike protein to antigen-presenting cells. As such, monoclonal antibodies against the spike protein are the expected outcome of the currently deployed mRNA vaccines," Seneff and Nigh wrote.

"Human spike protein monoclonal antibodies were found to produce high levels of cross-reactive antibodies against endogenous human proteins. Given evidence only partially reviewed here, there is sufficient reason to suspect that antibodies to the spike protein will contribute to ADE provoked by prior SARS-CoV-2 infection or vaccination, which may manifest as either acute or chronic autoimmune and inflammatory conditions."

The study also produced evidence of vaccine shedding, prion and neurodegenerative diseases, and coronavirus variants brought on by vaccinating a minority of the public.

The study concluded by suggesting that public health institutions employ a more cautious approach to rolling out new experimental technologies to the public rather than rush to get everybody jabbed when long-term data has not yet been collected.

"Public policy around mass vaccination has generally proceeded on the assumption that the risk/benefit ratio for the novel mRNA vaccines is a 'slam dunk.' With the massive vaccination campaign well under way in response to the declared international emergency of COVID-19, we have rushed into vaccine experiments on a world-wide scale," Seneff and Nigh wrote.

"At the very least, we should take advantage of the data that are available from these experiments to learn more about this new and previously untested technology. And, in the future, we urge governments to proceed with more caution in the face of new biotechnologies."

SOURCE: BOMBSHELL STUDY FINDS MRNA VACCINE MAY CAUSE "TRAGIC AND EVEN CATASTROPHIC" SIDE EFFECTS

Source (in German): <https://uncutnews.ch/studie-mrna-impfstoff-kann-tragische-und-sogar-katastrophale-nebenwirkungen-hervorrufen/>

Luc Montagnier

"A strategic mistake that affects the future of humanity": A Call from Prof. Luc Montagnier

uncut-news.ch, August 9th, 2021

Since the beginning of the pandemic, Professor Luc Montagnier, winner of the Nobel Prize for Medicine, has issued several statements that have made headlines. One of them concerns the 'fabricated' origin of the virus and its introductions, identified by mathematical models that identify expected breaks in harmony. This revelation was confirmed in a peer-reviewed study co-authored with mathematician Jean-Claude Perez, then on the set of 'FranceSoir', as well as by numerous international experts, making it one of the most likely hypotheses and no longer a conspiracy theory as it was presented by many mainstream media.

Today, Professor Montagnier is presenting an appeal based on his analyses and numerous discussions with experts from all over the world.

"This is a call for those responsible to promote massive vaccination in their countries and on an international level to prevent the spread of the Covid-19 coronavirus."

Based on still experimental data, they have approved and promoted mass vaccination campaigns with new vaccine types.

These vaccines carry part of the virus's RNA, which codes for its surface protein that allows it to attach to target cells. The manufacturers of these vaccines naively believed that the injected organisms would induce a strong antibody response to neutralise this surface protein and thus prevent the transmission of the virus. However, the facts contradict this hope: the vaccines from Pfizer, Moderna and AstraZeneca do not prevent human-to-human transmission of the virus, and the vaccinated are just as contagious as the unvaccinated. The hope for a 'collective immunity' by increasing the number of vaccinated individuals is thus completely in vain.

The explanation for this failure is simple: the high mutability of the virus combined with its high transmissibility enables the selection of vaccine-resistant variants.

It is a race in which the virus is always one step ahead.

It must be abandoned and compulsory vaccination must be rejected, especially by medical personnel who are already well aware of the side effects of the current vaccines.

I propose some life-saving solutions to the authorities:

Vaccination with a vaccine that produces strong T-cell immunity, such as BCG (in countries practising this vaccination, the incidence of Covid19).

Early treatment of the infection with Ivermectin and bacterial antibiotics, as there is a bacterial cofactor that enhances the effect of the virus.

Professor Montagnier must have weighed his every word when he framed this appeal, which has been well received by the medical community, some of whom are shaken by the Constitutional Council's decision to make vaccination mandatory.

One physician responded: "Thanks to Professor Montagnier, we hope that many scientists like him will join this appeal so that the government will finally open the debate."

SOURCE: "UNE ERREUR STRATÉGIQUE QUI IMPACTE L'AVENIR DE L'HUMANITÉ" : APPEL DU PR LUC MONTAGNIER

Source: <https://uncutnews.ch/ein-strategischer-fehler-der-sich-auf-die-zukunft-der-menschheit-auswirkt-auf-ruf-von-prof-luc>

Eradication of Covid is a Dangerous and Expensive Fantasy

uncut-news.ch, August 8th, 2021

According to the world renowned Stanford University scientist, Professor-Doctor Jayanta Bhattacharya, and leading economist Donald Boudreaux, the idea of Covid eradication is a dangerous and expensive fantasy.

Bhattacharya and Boudreaux explained the concept in an opinion piece published in the Wall Street Journal: It seemed to work in New Zealand and Australia, but now ruinous, oppressive lockdowns are back.

A greater part of the pathology underlying the Covid policy derives from the fantasy that it is possible to eradicate the virus.

By capitalising on the pandemic panic, governments and compliant media have utilised the lure of a zero-Covid virus to coerce obedience for harsh and arbitrary lockdown measures and associated violations of civil liberties.

Humanity's unimpressive success record in deliberately eradicating contagious diseases warns us that lockdown measures, however draconian they may be, cannot work.

So far, only two such diseases have been eradicated, and one of them, rinderpest, only affected cloven-hoofed animals.

The only human infectious disease we have deliberately eradicated is smallpox. The bacterium responsible for the Black Death, the outbreak of bubonic plague in the 14th century, is still present and causes infections even in the USA.

While the eradication of smallpox – a virus 100 times as deadly as Covid – was an impressive achievement, it should not be used as a precedent for Covid.

For one thing, unlike smallpox, which was only transmitted by humans, SARS-CoV-2 is also transmitted by animals, some of which are suspected of being able to transmit the disease to humans.

We need to rid ourselves of dogs, cats, minks, bats and other animals to eliminate the disease.

For another, the smallpox vaccine is incredibly effective at preventing infection and severe disease, even after exposure to the disease, in which case the protection lasts for five to ten years.

The Covid vaccines are far less efficacious in preventing the spread.

And the eradication of smallpox required decades of concerted global effort and unprecedented cooperation between nations.

Such a thing is no longer possible today, especially if it requires a permanent lockdown in every country on Earth.

The only viable way is to live with the virus, just as we have learned over millennia to live with countless other pathogens.

SOURCE: ERADICATION OF COVID IS A DANGEROUS AND EXPENSIVE FANTASY

Source: <https://uncutnews.ch/die-ausrottung-von-covid-ist-eine-gefaehrliche-und-teure-fantasie/>

British Health Authority: Viral load in vaccinated people as high as in unvaccinated people

8th Aug. 2021 10:20 p.m.

According to an announcement by the British health authority Public Health England, the viral load in vaccinated persons who have tested positive for the SARS-CoV-2 pathogen is said to be just as high as in unvaccinated human beings. The US Centers for Disease Control reported similar findings. According to that, humans who contract the delta variant of the coronavirus are said to be highly infectious regardless of their vaccination status. Prior to this, the Guardian had reported on this.

A statement from the authority says that the viral load in vaccinated persons who tested positive due to a vaccination breakthrough infection was just as high as in unvaccinated persons who were infected with the delta variant. According to PHE, this is still very early data and further research is required to verify it. It does not appear from the statement which data the authority is referring to.

Only last week, the US Centers for Disease Control reported that initial data suggest that vaccinated individuals show a similarly high viral load as unvaccinated persons. Thus, fully vaccinated persons can be just as contagious as unvaccinated ones. Despite complete vaccination, human beings can become infected with SARS-CoV-2 and continue to transmit the virus. The delta variant in particular can apparently lead to an 'antibody escape', as this has the property of being able to better evade the antibodies that should have formed after vaccination.

Source: <https://de.rt.com/europa/122038-britische-gesundheitsbehorde-virenlast-bei-geimpften-genauso-hoch-wie-bei-ungeimpften/>

Translation: Barbara Lotz; corrections: Vivienne Legg and Christian Frehner.